APPENDIX 8

```
Page 1
  1
                       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
  2
                       FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
  3
                                WESTERN DIVISION
  4
       J.B.D.L. Corp. d/b/a
       BECKETT APOTHECARY, et al.,
  5
                         Plaintiffs.
  6
                                                  Civil Action No.:
       v.
  7
                                                     C-1-01-704
       WYETH-AYERST LABORATORIES, INC.,
       et al.,
  8
  9
                        Defendants.
10
11
       DEPONENT:
                        VERONICA T. MALLETT, M.D.
12
      DATE:
                        August 6, 2004
13
      TIME:
                        9:00 a.m.
14
      LOCATION:
                        20301 Oakwood Boulevard
15
                        Dearborn, Michigan 48124
16
      APPEARANCES:
      For the Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs:
17
18
               MS. JAN BARTELLI
                Garwin, Bronzaft, Gerstein & Fisher, L.L.P.
19
                1501 Broadway
               New York, New York 10036
20
                (212) 398-0055
21
      For the Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs:
22
               MR. BRETT H. CEBULASH
               Garwin, Bronzaft, Gerstein & Fisher, L.L.P.
23
               1501 Broadway
               New York, New York 10036
24
               (212) 398-0055
25
```

Page 72

Page 70 Q So here the issue -- well, do you understand the issue to be O You can answer the question. 2 A 1 -- my assessment is that the point the authors are trying 2 that -- that the FDA is saying that an immediate release is to make is that the coating is designed to absorb oxygen and 3 less desirable than a slow release mechanism? A Right. That the Premarin tablet had a benefit by having the prevent oxidation, and that a -- an additional benefit of 4 5 particular absorptive characteristics that it did, and having that, the purpose of that, while also avoiding oxidation of the constituent estrogens, it also prevents the escape of 6 the shellac coating, and that made it a more slow release odor, according to the authors. 7 product. And this was actually a safer formulation than the generic estrogen tablet that -- that they discussed in that Q What odor do you think is being referred to here? MR. EGGERT: Well, objection as to how on earth she paragraph. They also said that the immediate release of would know what odor the authors Hess, Pharm and Schwartz 10 estrogen causes higher blood level, estrogen levels and thus might have had in mind. 11 possible adverse effects such as breast tenderness. The risk 12 BY MS. BARTELLI, CONTINUING: 12 of breast cancer, which was deemed to be dose related, might Q You can answer the question. 13 be increased compared to an estrogen formulation with a 14 modified release dissolution profile such as Premarin. 14 A I truly could not tell you. But I would understand that since the primary content of the drug is estrogen, it would 15 Q So the -- so your understanding then, the point being that if be the odor of estrogen. 16 you have bursts or quick release of estrogen, that that is less desirable than a slow release? 17 Q Are you aware of any other estrogen tablets that use shellac 17 as their coating? 18 A It would appear so from this author's compilation of the --A No. I'm also not aware of the coating of any other estrogen 19 tablets 20 Q Do you agree with that statement, based on your own

Document 169-9

- 21 Q Have you ever smelled estrogen from any other estrogen product that you've used?
- 22
- 23 A No.

3

5

6

3

10

11

15

16

18

19

20

- 24 Q So it wouldn't appear that other estrogen products need to be
- 25 coated with shellac to disguise the smell of estrogen?

Page 73

1 A Well, as I stated previously, I thought the shellae was used

- to prevent oxidation. And I truly don't know what odor
- 3 they're trying to disguise, if they are at all trying to
- disguise an odor.
- 5 Q Now this article also discusses the issue of dissolution with
- Premarin and Cenestin products, does it not?
- 7 A Yes
- Q What is your understanding of the findings of this article? 8
- g A That the dissolution testing of the conjugated equine
- 10 estrogen as it was presented here appeared to function as a
- 11 modified released agent. The paragraph under the subheading
- 12 Dissolution Characteristics of Slow-Absorption CEE refers to
- 13 this slow dissolution profile to be characteristic of the
- 14 shellac coating of the Premarin tablet, particularly at
- 15 acidic pH levels. It says in comparison to other generic
- 16 estrogen products then available demonstrated immediate
- 17 release dissolution profiles suggest the likelihood that
- 18 there would be significant differences in blood level. The
- 19 FDA found that these modified released characteristics of
- 20 this CEE with the shellac coating was actually a -- a benefit
- 21 of Premarin. And they concluded that while extended --
- 22 extensive clinical data on the question was lacking, it
- 23 appeared that high blood levels of estrogen resulting from
- 24 immediate release products, those other than -- than
- 25 Premarin, raised potentially significant safety issues.

j or less benefit?

experience?

21

22

23

24

25

Page 71

- A To -- yes. To -- to the degree that the references sited
- 3 support that.
- 4 Q Do you have any personal experience that would support that

A I really don't have personal experience which to - to say.

Q Do you agree with the statement regarding immediate release

mechanisms versus slow release mechanisms in terms of benefit

I - okay. Ask me that question again.

- statement?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q Can you turn to page 88.
- 9 Q If you look at the -- I guess it's the start of the second
- full paragraph.
- II A Yes.
- 12 Q And it's the -- first, second -- third sentence in that
- 13 paragraph. Can you read that?
- 14 A The --
- 15 Q Where it starts however.
- A Second paragraph? Third sentence? 16
- 17 Q It's the second full paragraph. The first word in the
- 18 paragraph is accordingly.
- 19 A Oh.
- 20 Q And then it says accordingly, the FDA.
- A lt says, however, in attempting to establish uniform in vitro
- 22 dissolution characteristics of Premarin for purposes of
- 23 bioequivalency comparisons, it was discovered that in fact
- 24 CEE dissolved at an inconsistent rate varying widely, not
- 25 only from batch to batch, but also between individual

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Page 74

- 1 tablets
- 2 Q And then the next --
- 3 A Sentence?
- 4 Q The next two, if you would.
- 5 A This variability is likely due at least in part to the
- 6 physical characteristics of the shellac coating applied to
- 7 Premarin tablets. Over time, the protective shellac oxidizes
- 8 and tends to crack, permitting older tablets to dissolve more
- 9 rapidly.
- 10 Q And then the next sentence reads, over the past 18 months
- 11 alone, failure in dissolution testing has led to a number of
- 12 large recalls now approaching a total of 500 million Premarin
- 13 tablets. Do you see that?
- 14 A Yes, I do.
- 15 Q Now, does that indicate to you that at least in this author's
- 16 opinion, that because of that coating, you -- Premarin in
- 17 fact often has a problem with immediate or burst release of
- 18 estrogen?
- 19 MR. EGGERT: Objection, mischaracterization of the
- 20 article
- 21 BY MS. BARTELLI, CONTINUING:
- 22 Q You can answer.
- 23 A It would indicate to me, but they don't provide any credible
- 24 data to support that statement. The -- I think that is what
- 25 the authors propose, but they don't provide any credible data

- Page 76
- 1 reader, have the option to endorse or believe in the message.
- 2 But declaring that the product is the data is provided by
- 3 the owner of the pharmaceutical company does at least give
- 4 them the credibility of being up front about where the data
- 5 came from.
- 6 Q Aren't they required to do that?
- 7 A Well, they're required to do that to the FDA. We're talking
- 8 about in publications, are we not?
- 9 O Yes.
- 10 A Oh. Okay. There's a difference.
- 11 Q Right.
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q So your position is that they're not -- a manufacturer is not
- 14 required in a journal or scientific publication to disclose
- 15 that they've somehow been involved in providing data or
- 16 sponsoring?
- 17 A Well, they didn't in this article. Most peer reviewed
- 18 journals would require that. This is not, however, a peer
- 19 reviewed journal, which also limits its credibility as a
- 20 source.
- 21 Q Do you think that the FDA is objective in the evaluations
- 22 they do of particular drugs?
- 23 A Yes. I think that they set standards and have guidelines for
- 24 maintaining that -- the quality of drugs that enter into the
- 25 U.S. drug supply. We hope so, anyway.

Page 75

- to support their position.
- 2 Q And why do you say that?
- 3 A The citation to support this comparison of bioequivalent is
- 4 the data on file from the Duramed Pharmaceuticals which makes
- 5 me suspect that the authors have a relationship with Duramed.
- 6 Pharmaceutical companies are not in the habit of providing
- 7 their data and to -- to test products objectively.
- 8 O So-
- 9 A Of their competition.
- 10 Q are you saying that when a pharmaceutical company provides
- 11 data --
- 12 A About a competitor's product.
- 13 Q that it's --
- 14 A That -- that -- the -- the possibility exists that -- that --
- 15 that bias may have entered into the compiling of that data.
- 16 Q So would it then be your position that if Wyeth had supplied
- 17 data for an article that was somehow critical of Cenestin,
- 18 that that bias also would exist?
- 19 A If that was the only basis from which an author made a
- 20 conclusion about a competitor's product, I would say that --
- 21 that, yes, bias could exist.
- 22 Q Is it your position that if a manufacturer provides data for
- 23 an article that is supportive of their product, that bias
- 24 also could exist?
- 25 A I think that it is a possibility. Now, if that you, as a

- Page 77

 1 Q Could you look at page 26 of your report.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Talking about the dissolution aspect.
- 4 MR. EGGERT: Which paragraph are you referring to?
 - THE WITNESS: Page 26?
- 6 BY MS. BARTELLI, CONTINUING:
- 7 Q I'm are you on page 26?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O Okay. It's the middle of the paragraph which has continued
- 10 from the previous page. And the sentence is nevertheless,
- 11 the characteristics of the dissolution dissolution of an
- 12 estrogen therapy play little role in my selection of hormonal
- 13 agents
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q I am not aware this has played any role in other physicians'
- 16 selection of hormonal agents. And moreover, the fact that
- some Premarin tablets have been recalled due to manufacturing
- 18 issues does not diminish the drug's safety or efficacy. Why
- 19 do you think that the characteristics of dissolution of
- 20 estrogen therapy is insignificant?
- 21 A Well, because Premarin has been administered over an
- 22 inordinately long period of time, and its efficacy has been
- 23 well established and is not in doubt. And so characteristics
- 24 of dissolution, if they were of question, don't have any
- 25 clinical relevance.